

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/300135842>

# Maternal consumption of xylitol for preventing dental decay in children

Chapter in Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews · November 2012

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010202

CITATION

1

READS

11

3 authors, including:



**Brett Duane**

Dublin Dental University Hospital

38 PUBLICATIONS 83 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Andrea Sherriff**

University of Glasgow

102 PUBLICATIONS 3,996 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Monitoring the Scottish Diet [View project](#)



Poor oral health in adults with intellectual disabilities and its determinants [View project](#)



**Cochrane**  
**Library**

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

## Maternal consumption of xylitol for preventing dental decay in children (Protocol)

Richards D, Duane B, Sherriff A

Richards D, Duane B, Sherriff A.

Maternal consumption of xylitol for preventing dental decay in children.

*Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD010202.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010202.

[www.cochranelibrary.com](http://www.cochranelibrary.com)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER . . . . .	1
ABSTRACT . . . . .	1
BACKGROUND . . . . .	1
OBJECTIVES . . . . .	3
METHODS . . . . .	3
REFERENCES . . . . .	6
APPENDICES . . . . .	8
HISTORY . . . . .	8
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS . . . . .	8
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST . . . . .	9
SOURCES OF SUPPORT . . . . .	9

[Intervention Protocol]

# Maternal consumption of xylitol for preventing dental decay in children

Derek Richards<sup>1</sup>, Brett Duane<sup>2</sup>, Andrea Sherriff<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health, NHS Forth Valley, Stirling, UK. <sup>2</sup>Department of Dental Public Health, NHS Fife, Fife, UK. <sup>3</sup>Department of Dental Public Health, University of Glasgow Dental School, Glasgow, UK

Contact address: Derek Richards, Department of Public Health, NHS Forth Valley, Carseview House, Castle Business Park, Stirling, FK9 4SW, UK. [derek.richards@nhs.net](mailto:derek.richards@nhs.net).

**Editorial group:** Cochrane Oral Health Group.

**Publication status and date:** New, published in Issue 11, 2012.

**Citation:** Richards D, Duane B, Sherriff A. Maternal consumption of xylitol for preventing dental decay in children. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD010202. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010202.

Copyright © 2012 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

## ABSTRACT

This is the protocol for a review and there is no abstract. The objectives are as follows:

1. To evaluate the effects of xylitol (consumed by mothers) at reducing tooth decay in their children compared with alternative treatments (e.g. chlorhexidine, fluoride varnish, placebo, or no treatment).
2. To assess 1 above (caries reduction in populations) at different levels of baseline caries risk.
3. To assess any reported changes in bacterial levels (of any species) in children/mothers.
4. To describe participant satisfaction levels.

## BACKGROUND

### Description of the condition

Dental caries is one of the most common preventable childhood diseases; people are susceptible to the disease throughout their lifetime (Selwitz 2007).

In many high-income countries caries prevalence has declined. However, disparities remain and many children and adults still develop caries (Selwitz 2007). In Aboriginal children in Western Australia, dental caries is the fifth and sixth most common disease causing hospitalisation in preschool children and primary school children respectively (Tennant 2000 as reviewed in Selwitz 2007). In

the USA, caries is the most common chronic disease of childhood, and is five times more common than asthma (US Department of Health and Human Services). Dental caries is the primary cause of oral pain and tooth loss (US Department of Health and Human Services). It can be arrested and potentially reversed in its early stages, but is often not self-limiting and without proper care, caries can progress until the tooth is destroyed (Fejerskov 2003).

Dental caries results from interactions over time between a biofilm containing bacteria that produces acid, a substrate (fermentable carbohydrates) that the bacteria can metabolise, and many other host factors including teeth and saliva (Selwitz 2007). According to Takahashi 2011, there are three stages in the caries process: a dynamic stability stage (with the dominance of *non-mutans*

*Streptococci* and actinomyces), an acidogenic stage (with low pH *non-mutans Streptococci* and actinomyces), and a severe/prolonged acidification stage (increase in *mutans Streptococci* and *non-mutans Streptococci* aciduric bacteria).

When these bacteria colonize the oral cavity, they form part of the biofilm (or plaque) that resides directly on the non-shedding tooth surface. The biofilm is complex containing at least several hundred species of bacteria (Selwitz 2007). No single bacterial species can predict caries development in a particular person (Selwitz 2007). However, evidence of high levels of *mutans Streptococci* is considered to be a strong risk factor for early childhood caries (Parisotto 2010).

The major reservoir from which infants acquire *mutans Streptococci* is the primary care giver, usually the mother (Berkowitz 2003; Seow 1998). Evidence suggests that *mutans Streptococci* can colonize the mouth of pre-dentate infants and are acquired by both vertical and horizontal transmission from human reservoirs (Berkowitz 2003).

Caries is related to lifestyle and behavioural factors within a person's control (e.g. poor oral hygiene, frequent consumption of refined carbohydrates (Fejerskov 2003)) but also factors not within their control including deprivation (Ramos-Gomez 2002) and possibly genetic make-up (Werneck 2010). The international trend in caries management is towards prevention aiming to control the initiation and progression of the disease process over a person's lifetime (Selwitz 2007). Preventative dentistry aims to stop the progression of dental caries by oral hygiene regimens that either promote remineralization of teeth or prevent the formation of the biofilm responsible for lowering the oral pH levels (Takahashi 2011). Preventative methods which delay the colonization of *mutans Streptococci* can have a long-term influence on the caries experience of the child (Kohler 1994).

## Description of the intervention

### Xylitol

Xylitol is a naturally occurring 5 carbon polyol sweetener which is widely used in various sweets in Finland, some European countries, and Japan and Korea. Xylitol is one of a number of non-sugar sweeteners permitted for use in foods (Department of Health 1983). It is found naturally in some foods but it is mass-produced, principally from sustainable xylan-rich hardwood sources such as birch and beech wood.

Some research has suggested that xylitol has a unique, positive role in preventing dental caries (independent of its role in increasing saliva) (Makinen 1998; Milgrom 2009). Other studies refute xylitol's unique action suggesting that the caries-preventive effects of xylitol chewing gum can be explained adequately by the favourable action of chewing gum alone (Scheie 1998). It has also been observed in trials with xylitol-containing gums that the bacterial flora

of plaque changes with the more cariogenic bacteria becoming less frequent (Maguire 2003).

Maguire suggests that xylitol may reduce the levels of *mutans Streptococci* in plaque through non-specific and specific effects (Maguire 2003).

#### 1. Non-specific effects.

- Xylitol does not act as a substrate for acid production in plaque; therefore does not encourage bacterial growth (Gehring 1973; Gehring 1976).
- Xylitol reduces acidogenicity of plaque (Spleith 2009).
- Xylitol reduces the amount and adhesivity of plaque (Soderling 1997).

#### 2. Specific effects.

- When *mutans Streptococci* are exposed to xylitol they can develop mutant xylitol-resistant strains which may be less virulent in the oral environment (Beckers 1988; Soderling 1997; Trahan 1992).
- Exposure of plaque to xylitol leads to an increase in the concentrations of amino acids and ammonia, neutralising plaque acids (Makinen 1976; Makinen 1985; Soderling 1987).
- Xylitol can act in a bacteriostatic way: some strains of oral streptococci take up xylitol and convert it to xylitol-5-phosphate, resulting in the formation of intra-cellular vacuoles and degraded cell membranes (Assev 1980; Pihlanto-Leppala 1990; Scheie 1998; Trahan 1985; Tuompo 1983).
- Xylitol can cause a 'futile metabolic cycle'. Streptococcus strains take up xylitol and phosphorylate it to xylitol-5-phosphate. This is then split by sugar-phosphate phosphatases and the xylitol is then expelled from the cell (Pihlanto-Leppala 1990; Rogers 1991; Soderling 1989; Trahan 1991).

## How the intervention might work

Having high levels of *mutans Streptococci* is a risk factor for early childhood caries (Parisotto 2010). Xylitol shows specific *mutans Streptococci*-inhibiting effects (Maguire 2003; Trahan 1995). Xylitol consumption does decrease counts of *mutans Streptococci*, although the mechanism behind this decrease is not well understood (Maguire 2003; Soderling 2010). A number of researchers have reported that habitual maternal consumption of xylitol reduced the probability of mother-child transmission of *mutans Streptococci* (Nakai 2010; Soderling 2000), although Thorild 2003 and Fontana 2009 found no statistically significant effect. Theoretically a reduced transmission of cariogenic bacteria such as *mutans Streptococci* from mother to child could lead to subsequent reduction in children's dental decay, and this has been demonstrated by Isokangas 2000 and Olak 2012.

## Why it is important to do this review

There are a small number of mother-child studies involving the maternal consumption of xylitol and its effect on childhood dental caries (Fontana 2009; Isokangas 2000; Nakai 2010; Olak 2012; Thorild 2006). However, there is also controversy over the benefits of xylitol when used in this manner. A systematic review is needed to identify and evaluate any other relevant research in this area using Cochrane criteria.

## OBJECTIVES

1. To evaluate the effects of xylitol (consumed by mothers) at reducing tooth decay in their children compared with alternative treatments (e.g. chlorhexidine, fluoride varnish, placebo, or no treatment).
2. To assess 1 above (caries reduction in populations) at different levels of baseline caries risk.
3. To assess any reported changes in bacterial levels (of any species) in children/mothers.
4. To describe participant satisfaction levels.

## METHODS

### Criteria for considering studies for this review

#### Types of studies

Randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials.

#### Types of participants

Pregnant and post-partum mothers (female) who at the time of commencing the research project had a child not older than 12 months.

#### Types of interventions

Daily consumption of any reported dosage of xylitol with no prolonged break (greater than 1 month), in any oral format (e.g. chewing gum, mints, lozenges, mouthrinses, toothpaste) compared with placebo, no treatment, caries preventive treatments (e.g. anti-plaque or anti-calculus agents, oral hygiene interventions or topical fluorides).

Acceptable placebo groups will be using any sham products sweetened with sugars (sucrose, fructose, glucose, etc), sugar products (honey, high fructose corn syrup, etc), non-nutritive sweeteners (saccharin, aspartame, cyclamates, etc), or sugar alcohols other than xylitol (sorbitol, mannitol, etc).

## Types of outcome measures

### Primary outcomes

Caries reduction as measured by:

- child's dental caries at both the enamel and dentinal level of diagnosis, measured using any index (e.g. DMFT, dmft, ICDAS, etc)
- child caries experience: yes/no
- prevented fraction (PF).

### Secondary outcomes

- Mother's dental caries using any index (e.g. DMFT, dmft, ICDAS, etc).
- Mother's plaque.
- Child's plaque.
- Child's bacterial levels of any species.
- Mother's bacterial levels of any species.
- Adverse effects (of any kind) associated with xylitol consumption.
- Participant satisfaction level.

## Search methods for identification of studies

For the identification of studies included or considered for this review, detailed search strategies will be developed for each database searched. These will be based on the search strategy developed for MEDLINE via OVID (Appendix 1) but revised appropriately for each database to take account of differences in controlled vocabulary and syntax rules. The strategy will consist of controlled vocabulary and free text terms.

The search strategy will combine the subject search with the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy (CHSSS) for identifying reports of randomised controlled trials in MEDLINE via OVID (as published in box 6.4.c in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011) (Higgins 2011).

The search will attempt to identify all relevant studies irrespective of language. Non-English papers will be translated with assistance from NHS Fife, and the Cochrane Oral Health Group.

### Electronic searches

The following databases will be searched:

- The Cochrane Oral Health Group's Trials Register (whole database)
- The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library*, current issue)
- MEDLINE via OVID (1950 to present)
- EMBASE via OVID (1980 to present)
- CINAHL via EBSCO (1982 to present)

- LILACS via BIREME (1982 to present)
- Dissertations and theses via ProQuest (1950 to present)
- ZETOC conference proceedings (1993 to present)
- Web of Science conference proceedings (1990 to present)
- ClinicalTrials.gov (whole database)
- *meta*Register of Controlled Trials (*mRCT*) ([www.controlled-trials.com](http://www.controlled-trials.com)) (whole database).

### Searching other resources

The *Journal of Dental Research* has been identified as a journal which should be handsearched for this review. This journal has already been searched as part of the Cochrane Journal Handsearching Programme from 2003, the journal will be handsearched by the review authors from 2000 to 2002.

The reference lists of all eligible trials will be checked for additional studies.

### Data collection and analysis

The titles and abstracts (when available) of all reports identified through the searches will be scanned independently by two review authors (Brett Duane (BD) and Derek Richards (DR)).

### Selection of studies

Full reports will be obtained for trials appearing to meet the inclusion criteria or for which there is insufficient information in the title and abstract to make a clear decision. The full reports obtained from all the electronic and other methods of searching will be assessed independently, in duplicate, by two review authors to establish whether the trials meet the inclusion criteria or not. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion. Where resolution is not possible, an independent author will be consulted. All studies rejected at this or subsequent stages will be recorded in the table of excluded studies and reasons for exclusion will be recorded.

### Data extraction and management

Data will be extracted by two review authors (BD and DR) independently using properly developed data extraction forms. The data extraction forms will be piloted on several papers and modified as needed before use. Any disagreement will be resolved by discussion and an independent author will be consulted where necessary. If agreement cannot be reached data will be excluded until further clarification is provided. For each trial the following data will be recorded.

1. Author, journal, date of the study, year of publication, country of origin and source of study funding.
2. Details of the participants including demographic characteristics (of both mother and child) and criteria for inclusion.

3. Randomisation type (i.e. cluster randomised trial, individual).
4. Details of the age of the child when the xylitol was commenced.
5. Details on the type of intervention (i.e. the form of xylitol (e.g. gum/lozenge, etc)).
6. Details of the dosage of product consumed (frequency/dosage per session/daily dosage).
7. Details of the comparison products (e.g. xylitol product compared with sorbitol sweet, mannitol sweet, fluoride varnish, etc).
8. Details of the length of time the xylitol was consumed.
9. Details of the outcomes reported (tooth decay, adverse effects, participant satisfaction level, dose response, bacterial levels measured, mother dental health), including method of assessment and time intervals after intervention.
10. Reliability of primary outcome measure: number of examiners; calibration; method of clinical assessment.
11. Side effects.

### Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

All trials included in the review will be assessed for risk of bias independently and in duplicate as part of the data extraction process.

The trials will be assessed for risk of bias using the domain-based evaluation tool, specifically developed by The Cochrane Collaboration. This tool, described in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011) (Higgins 2011) is a two-part tool, addressing seven specific domains namely sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting and other bias. Each domain includes one or more specific entries in a risk of bias table. Within each entry, the first part of the tool will describe what was reported to have happened in the study, in sufficient detail to support a judgement about the risk of bias. The second part of the tool involves assigning a judgement relating to the risk of bias for that entry. This is achieved by assigning a judgement of low risk of bias, high risk of bias or unclear risk of bias (Higgins 2011).

A risk of bias table will be completed for each included study and results for all studies collated graphically. This will identify both individual studies and particular domains with high risk of bias, both of which can be explored further in sensitivity analyses.

### Measures of treatment effect

For caries experience (a binary outcome variable), risk ratios (RR) and 95% confidence intervals will be presented. For continuous outcome data, means, standard deviations and sample sizes will be presented in order that standardized mean differences can be analysed. The prevented fraction (PF), defined as the mean difference

in the control group minus the mean difference in the treatment group, divided by the mean difference in the control group, will be considered if different studies have presented caries reduction in different ways. Variances and confidence intervals for the PF will be calculated within Stata using the user derived program: fielleri.ado (version 1.0 2004-12-07, Joseph Coveney) (Abrams 1980).

The contrast between the outcomes of all groups (the 'treatment effect') will be provided by considering four questions:

1. What is the direction of effect?
2. What is the size of effect?
3. Is the effect consistent across studies?
4. What is the strength of evidence for the effect?

Meta-analysis where possible will provide a statistical method for questions 1 to 3. Assessment of question 4 will rely on an assessment of study design and risk of bias, as well as statistical measures of uncertainty.

### Unit of analysis issues

In each study the following unit of analysis issues will be considered.

1. Groups of individuals were randomised together to the same intervention (i.e. cluster randomised trials).
2. Multiple observations for the same outcome (e.g. repeated measurements, recurring events, measurements on different body parts).
3. Multiple intervention groups (studies that compare more than two intervention groups will be included in meta-analysis by making multiple pair-wise comparisons between all possible pairs of intervention groups).

### Dealing with missing data

Where data are missing trial authors will be contacted. Data will be excluded until further clarification is available or if agreement cannot be reached. In cases where standard deviations (SDs) for caries difference are missing, the method recommended by Van Rijkom 1998 whereby linear regression of log transformed standard deviations on log transformed mean caries reductions is used to impute the SDs where appropriate.

### Assessment of heterogeneity

Heterogeneity will be assessed in two ways.

1. Primarily, visual inspection of the forest plots, in particular of the estimates and 95% confidence intervals of the treatment effects.
2. The  $I^2$  statistic from meta-analysis will give a reasonable indication of whether account has to be taken in the modelling process of heterogeneity.

### Assessment of reporting biases

Multiple sources of publications will be sourced, including data from unpublished trials (where available on online trial registries as outlined in the search criteria).

### Data synthesis

Meta-analysis, if appropriate, will use random-effects models for incorporating unexplained heterogeneity.

### Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

Where possible, subgroup analysis will be conducted to assess:

1. differences in effect between types of xylitol products (chewing gum, mints, lozenges, mouthrinses, toothpaste, other);
2. differences in effect between high and low dose and frequency of product;
3. differences in effect between different levels of baseline and caries risk.

Variation across studies (heterogeneity) will be considered, if sufficient studies exist to allow the reliable investigation of the reasons for it.

Clinical heterogeneity will be assessed by examining the types of participants, interventions and outcomes in each study.

### Sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis will be performed both with and without outlying studies.

A sensitivity analysis will take place repeating the primary analysis or meta-analysis, substituting alternative decisions or ranges of values for decisions that were arbitrary or unclear.

Sensitivity analysis will be undertaken to assess the effects of:

1. excluding studies with high risk of bias, in particular in domains: random sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding;
2. excluding unpublished studies (if there are any); and
3. excluding studies funded by companies that produce confectionery or xylitol products (e.g. Xlear, etc).

### Presentation of main results

A 'Summary of findings' table will be developed for the primary outcomes of this review using GRADEPro software. The quality of the body of evidence will be assessed with reference to the overall risk of bias of the included studies, the directness of the evidence, the inconsistency of the results, the precision of the estimates, the risk of publication bias, the magnitude of the effect and whether or not there is evidence of a dose response. The quality of the body of evidence for each of the primary outcomes will be categorised as high, moderate, low or very low.



## REFERENCES

### Additional references

#### Abrams 1980

Abrams RG, Chambers DW. Caries-inhibiting effect of a stannous fluoride silica gel dentifrice: a three-year clinical study. *Clinical Preventive Dentistry* 1980;**2**(1):22–7.

#### Assev 1980

Assev S, Vegarud G, Rolla G. Growth inhibition of *Streptococcus mutans* strain OMZ 176 by xylitol. *Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica. Section B, Microbiology* 1980;**88**(1):61–3.

#### Beckers 1988

Beckers HJ. Influence of xylitol on growth, establishment, and cariogenicity of *Streptococcus mutans* in dental plaque of rats. *Caries Research* 1988;**22**(3):166–73.

#### Berkowitz 2003

Berkowitz RJ. Acquisition and transmission of mutans streptococci. *Journal of the California Dental Association* 2003;**31**(2):135–8.

#### Department of Health 1983

Department of Health. Sweeteners in Food Regulations. SI 1983, 1211 as amended by SI 1988, 2122. London, UK 1983.

#### Fejerskov 2003

Fejerskov O, Kidd EAM. *Dental Caries: the Disease and its Clinical Management*. Copenhagen, Denmark: Blackwell Monksgaard, 2003.

#### Fontana 2009

Fontana M, Catt D, Eckert GJ, Ofner S, Toro M, Gregory RL, et al. Xylitol: effects on the acquisition of cariogenic species in infants. *Pediatric Dentistry* 2009;**31**(3):257–66.

#### Gehring 1973

Gehring F. Formation of acids by cariogenically important streptococci from sugars and sugar alcohols with special reference to isomaltitol and isomaltulose. *Zeitschrift für Ernährungswissenschaft. Supplementa* 1973;**15**:16–27.

#### Gehring 1976

Gehring F, Makinen KK, Larmas M, Scheinin A. Turku sugar studies X. Occurrence of polysaccharide-forming streptococci and ability of the mixed plaque microbiota to ferment various carbohydrates. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 1976;**34**(6):329–43.

#### Higgins 2011

Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from [www.cochrane-handbook.org](http://www.cochrane-handbook.org).

#### Isokangas 2000

Isokangas P, Soderling E, Pienihakkinen K, Alanen P. Occurrence of dental decay in children after maternal consumption of xylitol chewing gum, a follow-up from 0

to 5 years of age. *Journal of Dental Research* 2000;**79**(11):1885–9.

#### Kohler 1994

Kohler B, Andreen I. Influence of caries-preventive measures in mothers on cariogenic bacteria and caries experience in their children. *Archives of Oral Biology* 1994;**39**(10):907–11.

#### Maguire 2003

Maguire A, Rugg-Gunn AJ. Xylitol and caries prevention - is it a magic bullet?. *British Dental Journal* 2003;**194**(8):429–36.

#### Makinen 1976

Makinen KK, Scheinin A. Turku sugar studies. VII. Principal biochemical findings on whole saliva and plaque. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 1976;**34**(5):241–83.

#### Makinen 1985

Makinen KK, Soderling E, Hurttia H, Lehtonen OP, Luukkala E. Biochemical, microbiologic, and clinical comparisons between two dentifrices that contain different mixtures of sugar alcohols. *Journal of the American Dental Association* 1985;**111**(5):745–51.

#### Makinen 1998

Makinen KK. Xylitol-based caries prevention: is there enough evidence for the existence of a specific xylitol effect? *Oral Disability* 1998;**4**(4):226–30.

#### Milgrom 2009

Milgrom P, Ly KA, Tut OK, Mancl L, Roberts M, Briand K, et al. Xylitol pediatric topical oral syrup to prevent dental caries: a double-blind randomized clinical trial of efficacy. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine* 2009;**163**(7):601–7.

#### Nakai 2010

Nakai Y, Shinga-Ishihara C, Kaji M, Moriya K, Murakami-Yamanaka K, Takimura M. Xylitol gum and maternal transmission of mutans streptococci. *Journal of Dental Research* 2010;**89**(1):56–60.

#### Olak 2012

Olak J, Saag M, Vahlberg T, Söderling E, Karjalainen S. Caries prevention with xylitol lozenges in children related to maternal anxiety. A demonstration project. *European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry* 2012;**13**(2):64–9.

#### Parisotto 2010

Parisotto TM, Steiner-Oliveira C, Silva CM, Rodrigues LK, Nobre-dos-Santos M. Early childhood caries and mutans streptococci: a systematic review. *Oral Health and Preventive Dentistry* 2010;**8**(1):59–70.

#### Pihlanto-Leppala 1990

Pihlanto-Leppala A, Soderling E, Makinen KK. Expulsion mechanism of xylitol-5-phosphate in *Streptococcus mutans*. *Scandinavian Journal of Dental Research* 1990;**98**(2):112–9.

#### Ramos-Gomez 2002

Ramos-Gomez FJ, Weintraub JA, Gansky SA, Hoover CI, Featherstone JDB. Bacterial, behavioural and environmental

- factors associated with early childhood caries. *Journal of Clinical Paediatric Dentistry* 2002;**26**(2):165–73.
- Rogers 1991**  
Rogers AH, Pilowsky KA, Zilm PS, Gully NJ. Effects of pulsing with xylitol on mixed continuous cultures of oral streptococci. *Australian Dental Journal* 1991;**36**(3):231–5.
- Scheie 1998**  
Scheie AA, Fejerskov OB. Xylitol in caries prevention: what is the evidence for clinical efficacy?. *Oral Diseases* 1998;**4**(4):268–78.
- Selwitz 2007**  
Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. *The Lancet* 2007;**369**(9555):51–9.
- Seow 1998**  
Seow WK. Biological mechanisms of early childhood caries. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 1998;**26**(1 Suppl):8–27.
- Soderling 1987**  
Soderling E, Talonpoika J, Makinen KK. Effect of xylitol-containing carbohydrate mixtures on acid and ammonia production in suspensions of salivary sediment. *Scandinavian Journal of Dental Research* 1987;**95**(5):405–10.
- Soderling 1989**  
Soderling E, Pihlanto-Leppala A. Uptake and expulsion of <sup>14</sup>C-xylitol by xylitol-cultured *Streptococcus mutans* ATCC 25175 in vitro. *Scandinavian Journal of Dental Research* 1989;**97**(6):511–9.
- Soderling 1997**  
Soderling E, Trahan L, Tammiala-Salonen T, Hakkinen L. Effects of xylitol, xylitol-sorbitol, and placebo chewing gums on the plaque of habitual xylitol consumers. *European Journal of Oral Sciences* 1997;**105**(2):170–7.
- Soderling 2000**  
Soderling E, Isokangas P, Pienihäkkinen K, Tenovuo J. Influence of maternal xylitol consumption on acquisition of mutans streptococci by infants. *Journal of Dental Research* 2000;**79**(3):882–7.
- Soderling 2010**  
Soderling EM, Hietala-Lenkkeri AM. Xylitol and erythritol decrease adherence of polysaccharide-producing oral streptococci. *Current Microbiology* 2010;**60**(1):25–9.
- Spleith 2009**  
Spleith C, Alkilzy M, Schmitt J, Berndt C, Welk A. Effect of xylitol and sorbitol on plaque acidogenesis. *Quintessence International* 2009;**40**(4):279–85.
- Takahashi 2011**  
Takahashi N, Nyvad B. The role of bacteria in the caries process: ecological perspectives. *Journal of Dental Research* 2011;**90**(3):294–303.
- Tennant 2000**  
Tennant M, Namjoshi D, Silva D, Codde J. Oral health and hospitalization in Western Australian children. *Australian Dental Journal* 2000;**45**(3):204–7.
- Thorild 2003**  
Thorild I, Lindau B, Twetman S. Effect of maternal use of chewing gums containing xylitol, chlorhexidine or fluoride on mutans streptococci colonization in the mothers' infant children. *Oral Health and Preventive Dentistry* 2003;**1**(1):53–7.
- Thorild 2006**  
Thorild I, Lindau B, Twetman S. Caries in 4-year-old children after maternal chewing of gums containing combinations of xylitol, sorbitol, chlorhexidine and fluoride. *European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry* 2006;**7**(4):241–5.
- Trahan 1985**  
Trahan L, Bareil M, Gauthier L, Vadeboncoeur C. Transport and phosphorylation of xylitol by a fructose phosphotransferase system in *Streptococcus mutans*. *Caries Research* 1985;**19**(1):53–63.
- Trahan 1991**  
Trahan L, Neron S, Bareil M. Intracellular xylitol-phosphate hydrolysis and efflux of xylitol in *Streptococcus sobrinus*. *Oral Microbiological Immunology* 1991;**6**(1):41–50.
- Trahan 1992**  
Trahan L, Soderling E, Drean MF, Chevrier MC, Isokangas P. Effect of xylitol consumption on the plaque-saliva distribution of mutans streptococci and the occurrence and long-term survival of xylitol-resistant strains. *Journal of Dental Research* 1992;**71**(11):1785–91.
- Trahan 1995**  
Trahan L. Xylitol: a review of its action on mutans streptococci and dental plaque - its clinical significance. *International Dental Journal* 1995;**45**(1 Suppl 1):77–92.
- Tuompo 1983**  
Tuompo H, Meurman JH, Lounatmaa K, Linkola J. Effect of xylitol and other carbon sources on the cell wall of *Streptococcus mutans*. *Scandinavian Journal of Dental Research* 1983;**91**(1):17–25.
- US Department of Health and Human Services**  
US Department of Health and Human Services. Oral Health in America: A report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health, 2000.
- Van Rijkom 1998**  
van Rijkom HM, Truin GJ, van't Hof MA. A meta-analysis of clinical studies on the caries-inhibiting effect of fluoride gel treatment. *Caries Research* 1998;**32**(2):83–92.
- Werneck 2010**  
Werneck RI, Mira MT, Trevilatto PC. A critical review: an overview of genetic influence on dental caries. *Oral Diseases* 2010;**16**(7):613–23.

\* Indicates the major publication for the study

## APPENDICES

### Appendix I. MEDLINE (OVID) search strategy

1. exp DENTAL CARIES/
2. (teeth adj5 (cavit\$ or caries or carious or decay\$ or lesion\$ or deminerali\$ or reminerali\$)).mp.
3. (tooth adj5 (cavit\$ or caries or carious or decay\$ or lesion\$ or deminerali\$ or reminerali\$)).mp.
4. (dental adj5 (cavit\$ or caries or carious or decay\$ or lesion\$ or deminerali\$ or reminerali\$)).mp.
5. (enamel adj5 (cavit\$ or caries or carious or decay\$ or lesion\$ or deminerali\$ or reminerali\$)).mp.
6. (dentin adj5 (cavit\$ or caries or carious or decay\$ or lesion\$ or deminerali\$ or reminerali\$)).mp.
7. (root adj5 (cavit\$ or caries or carious or decay\$ or lesion\$ or deminerali\$ or reminerali\$)).mp.
8. Dental plaque/
9. ((tooth or teeth or dental or saliva\$) adj4 (plaque or "mutans strep\$" or strep\$ mutans)).mp.
10. exp TOOTH DEMINERALIZATION/
11. or/1-10
12. exp Sugar Alcohols/
13. ("sugar alcohol\$" or polyol\$).mp.
14. exp Sweetening Agents/
15. sweetener\$.mp.
16. xylitol/
17. xylitol.mp.
18. or/12-17
19. infectious disease transmission, vertical/
20. ((maternal adj4 transmission) or (mother\$ adj4 transmission) or (parent\$ adj4 transmission)).mp.
21. ((maternal adj4 acquisition) or (mother\$ adj4 acquisition) or (parent\$ adj4 acquisition)).mp.
22. ((maternal adj4 transfer\$) or (mother\$ adj4 transfer\$) or (parent\$ adj4 transfer\$)).mp.
23. ("mother to child" or mother-to-child or "parent to child" or parent-to-child).mp.
24. ("mother to infant" or mother-to-infant or "parent to infant" or parent-to-infant).mp.
25. Mother/
26. Child/
27. Infant/
28. 25 and (26 or 27)
29. ((mother\$ or maternal or parent\$) and (child\$ or infant\$ or baby or babies or toddler)).mp.
30. Pregnancy/
31. (pregnan\$ or foetus or fetus or foetal or fetal or "in utero").mp.
32. 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31
33. 11 and 18 and 32

## HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 11, 2012

## CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Derek Richards (DR) and Brett Duane (BD) co-ordinated the review, and collected data. Anne Littlewood (AL) and BD developed the search strategy; BD will undertake the searching; BD and DR plan to screen the titles and abstracts; BD will organise retrieval of papers; BD and DR will screen retrieved papers against the inclusion criteria, appraise the quality of the papers, and extract data; BD will obtain and screen data on unpublished studies and additional data on published studies; BD will enter data into RevMan, be responsible for data management for the review. DR, BD and Andrea Sherriff (AS) will analyse the data; BD, DR and AS will interpret the data; DR and BD will write the review.

## DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Brett Duane (Chief investigator) and Derek Richards (Principal investigator) are leading a randomised controlled trial investigating the maternal consumption of xylitol to reduce early childhood caries in children. Full details of the protocol are registered on <http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01038479>.

## SOURCES OF SUPPORT

### Internal sources

- No sources of support supplied

### External sources

- Cochrane Oral Health Group Global Alliance, UK.

All reviews in the Cochrane Oral Health Group are supported by Global Alliance member organisations (British Orthodontic Society, UK; British Society of Paediatric Dentistry, UK; National Center for Dental Hygiene Research & Practice, USA and New York University College of Dentistry, USA) providing funding for the editorial process (<http://ohg.cochrane.org/>).

- National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), UK.

All reviews in the Cochrane Oral Health Group are supported by NIHR Systematic Reviews Programme infrastructure funding.